

$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	_	· · · · —			
AIRS ID#: 7775250 DATE: <u>07/21/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00</u>	DEPART: <u>11:45</u>			
FACILITY NAME: STEVEN COUNTS/42 MIN	E				
FACILITY LOCATION: 16611 SE 58TH A	AVE				
SUMMERFIELD	34491				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEVEN COUNTS PHONE: (352)307-2410 Email: Mobile: (352)266-45 CONTACT NAME: Mr. Mike Kirby PHONE: Email: Paula Ballard [PBallard@scipaveit.com] Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/15/2004 / 8/15/2009 Facility may be operating without Entitlement! (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section					
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DAREN ONGVER INTRODUCTION AND THE	10				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Mike Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still STEVEN (If no, who is?:	COUNTS?	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at 1	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?	Yes			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	∐ Yes	∐No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No
	Is the EU one of the following?		□No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 -nonmetallic mineral processing plant

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through wh}\}$	ich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	m non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en				☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measu					
scrubber and the device has bee				_	
instructions?				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device r	•	manufacturer to be accurate wit	hın +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measu	rament of the samphine	liquid flow rate to the wet come	hhar and th	Δ	
device has been calibrated on a					□No
Note: The monitoring device r				∐ Yes	NO
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manuracturer to be accurate wit	IIIII +3 70		
or design scrubbing fiquid flow	rate.				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	•		vears?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		been tested within the past 3	years.	res	
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vears?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w				Yes	□No
in has the De seem tested yet w		ii your.			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-				☐ Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?					
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	est six-minute average.		_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	No
	1 ,	,		_	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	pector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				☐ Yes	No
Rate:	•				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	No
•	- •				
	VE On a	situ I imita			
		city Limits	C1	OOO FII	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	: 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
L	1				

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ✓ box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor		
drop points)? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s)	□ \$7	□ xr.
on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐ No □ No
d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control		□ 1,0
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work	\sqcap \mathbf{v}_{as}	\sqcap No
areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	∐ Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A	Yes	☐ No
b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
1. Don't this Costilies bear accorded to about that it does not have the notantial to amit	box for each of	
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	∏ Yes	□No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	- Yes	□No
c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No
2. Does this facility include:		
a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception		
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		∏No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene		
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	· U Yes	□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	box for each of the box fo	only one question) NoNoNoNo
RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	(6)] -	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operator permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		No

CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes	•		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
John Vigliotti Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	07/2016 Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: Facility may be operating withou entitlement and could not provide me with proper permit. No manager onsite to review permit requirements. Could not get in contact with Owner Mr. Mike Kirby Cell# 352-266-4566.